



Objectives/Results	Indicator	Baseline ^{a/}	Latest Data						Plan Target ^{b/}	Likelihood of Achieving the PDP target	Responsible Agency ^{c/}	Reporting Entity ^{d/}
CHAPTER 6: PURSUING SWIFT, FAIR, AND HUMANE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE												
Societal Goal												
A healthy and resilient Philippines												
Intermediate Goal												
Building a high-trust society												
Chapter Outcome 1												
Swift, fair, and humane administration of justice pursued												
Sub-chapter Outcome 1												
Criminal, civil, and economic justice processes information and communications technology (ICT)-enabled and streamlined	World Justice Project (WJP) - Rule of Law Index Improved											
	Sub-indicator on Fundamental Rights improved ^{6/}											
	Percentile rank	26.55	12.39	12.39	16.67	16.41	11.51	15.71	29.00		JSCC	NEDA
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022			
	Score	0.50	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.41	0.39	0.40	Increasing		JSCC	NEDA
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022			
	Sub-indicator on Civil Justice improved ^{7/}											
	Percentile rank	23.01	28.32	28.32	21.43	28.13	27.34	25.71	27.00		JSCC	NEDA
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022			
	Score	0.45	0.47	0.47	0.44	0.46	0.45	0.45	Increasing		JSCC	NEDA
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022			
	Sub-indicator on Criminal Justice improved ^{9/}											
	Percentile rank	25.66	9.73	9.73	10.32	12.50	13.67	16.42	29.00		JSCC	NEDA
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022			
	Score	0.36	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.32	Increasing		JSCC	NEDA
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022			
Percentage of preliminary investigation backlog decreased (%)	50.00	53	35	31	27	25	23	25		DOJ	DOJ	
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022				
Percentage of complaints disposed over total complaints handled (%)	87.78	87	87	91	79	92	93	90		DOJ	DOJ	
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022				
Philippine Mediation Centers established	135	4	3	3	0	0	0	157		SC	SC	
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022				
Victims Compensation Program beneficiaries increased	1,679	2,055	1,865	1,062	470	581	899	15,153		DOJ	DOJ	
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022				
Sub-chapter Outcome 2												
Sector efficiency and accountability improved	Unsented detainees as a percentage proportion of overall prison population ^{10/}	79	76	72	66	63	65	64	Decreasing		Provincial Governments, DILG-BJMP DOJ- BUCOR	DILG Proper, DILG-BJMP, DOJ-BUCOR
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022			

Objectives/Results	Indicator	Baseline ^{a/}	Latest Data					Plan Target ^{b/}	Likelihood of Achieving the PDP target	Responsible Agency ^{c/}	Reporting Entity ^{d/}
CHAPTER 6: PURSUING SWIFT, FAIR, AND HUMANE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE											
	Congestion rate decreased (%)										
	a. National prisons	115	119	269	302	303	305	313	10% reduction from 2021 congestion rate	DOJ-BUCOR	DOJ-BUCOR
											
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022		
	Number of justice zones established increased ^{h/}	1	0	1	4	0	1	2	20	JSCC	SC
											
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2022		

^{a/} Actual data as of December 2016, or latest available.

^{b/} May either be cumulative or incremental target value at the end of the Plan period.

^{c/} Concerned NEDA Board Committees/Cabinet Cluster/Inter-Agency Committees responsible for delivering the outcomes and the concerned implementing agencies for delivering the outputs

^{d/} Lead/responsible agency for reporting progress on indicator targets.

^{e/} The WJP Rule of Law Index: Fundamental Rights sub-indicator measures the protection of fundamental human rights, including effective enforcement of laws that ensure equal protection, the right to life and security of the person, due process of law and the rights of the accused, freedom of opinion and expression, freedom of belief and religion, the right to privacy, freedom of assembly and association, and fundamental labor rights, including the right to collective bargaining, the prohibition of forced and child labor, and the elimination of discrimination.

^{f/} The WJP Rule of Law Index: Civil Justice sub-indicator measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable, free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays, and if decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

^{g/} The WJP Rule of Law Index: Criminal Justice sub-indicator measures whether the criminal investigation, adjudication, and correctional systems are effective, and whether the criminal justice system is impartial, free of corruption, free of improper influence, and protective of due process and the rights of the accused.

^{h/} SDG 16.3.2. indicator on Unsensetioned Detainees as a Proportion of Overall Prison Population. This indicator will cover data on provincial/sub-provincial jails (provincial governments and DILG Proper), municipality/city/district jails (DILG-BJMP) and national prisons (DOJ-BUCOR).

^{i/} A Justice Zone is an area or locality where a minimum number of inter-agency coordinative reforms are present, rendering that area or locality compliant based on the selection and rating criteria to be established by the JSCC.

List of Acronyms:

DILG - Department of Interior and Local Government

DILG-BJMP - Department of Interior and Local Government - Bureau of Jail Management and Penology

DOJ - Department of Justice

DOJ-BUCOR - Department of Justice - Bureau of Corrections

DOJ-PAO - Department of Justice - Public Attorneys Office

JSCC - Justice Sector Coordinating Council

NEDA - National Economic and Development Authority

SC - Supreme Court