

ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE EFFICIENCY

OF DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(DOST) GRANTS-IN-AID (GIA) PROGRAM, 2019

Razo, Armela K., Santos, Emiliano III M., & Ayson, Allen Joseph G. DOST – Central Office

> Assistant Secretary Maridon O. Sahagun DOST



INTRODUCTION

- The Department of Science and Technology (DOST) is the country's leading agency in science, technology and innovation with a mandate of providing central direction to all STI activities in the Philippines.
- The DOST Grants-in-Aid (GIA) program is a funding mechanism designed to amplify the research and innovation activities in the country (AO No. 009, 2017).
- However, institutional weaknesses affect the utilization of DOST which is directly related to the efficiency of the R&D sector.





- For R&D to succeed in a country, there should be a strong government role in its setting. By this means, the government should provide a healthy and nurturing environment for R&D to be successful.
- Translating the technology innovation to economic growth means that a robust R&D in the country must be in place.



OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY



General:

To prove if the factors identified affect the implementation of DOST-GIA Program.

Specific:

- To determine the factors affecting the implementation of DOST-GIA program;
- To assess if the factors identified are statistically significant on the implementation of the program;
- To examine the impact of factors identified on the implementation of DOST-GIA program; and
- To provide policy recommendations on the continual improvement of funding mechanism of DOST-GIA program



FRAMEWORK

START Proponent Office of the Undersecretary for R&D **Special Projects Division** YES (W/ COMMENTS) Pass? NO YES Sectoral Councils YES (W/ COMMENTS) Pass? NO YES **DOST-GIA Executive Committee** YES (W/ COMMENTS) NO Pass? YES **Completion of Approval Documents** Letter of Disapproval IMPLEMENTATION END

Figure 2. The Conceptual Framework of the System of Funding Mechanism of DOST-GIA Program obtained from the presentation of Undersecretary R.C.L. Guevara





$$\pi_i = \operatorname{Prob}(Y_i = 1 | X_i = x_i) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_i x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_i x_i)}$$

- Logistic regression is a statistical method analyzing data in which one or more independent variables are determining an outcome of two (2) values (e.g. dichotomous).
- Using a logistic regression model, the study was able to provide details whether the factors identified have <u>influenced</u> or <u>not</u> the implementation of DOST-GIA program.



- From a total of 1,541 new DOST-GIA projects approved from year 2000-2018, 498 projects were randomly selected.
- Projects were selected from the DOST sectoral council – PCAARRD, PCHRD, PCIEERRD, & NRCP.
 - PCAARRD & PCIEERD has the highest number of projects since many of its projects were funded under the DOST-GIA program.



Table 2. Distribution of DOST-GIA projects per sectoral council based on the designed sample size.

SECTORAL COUNCIL	NUMBER	%
NRCP	45	9.42
PCAARRD	149	29.86
PCHRD	51	10.02
PCIEERD	253	50.70
TOTAL	498	100



Table 3. Summary of factors affecting the implementation of DOST-GIA-funded projects.

VARIABLE	AFFECTED	NOT AFFECTED
Delayed Budget Release	347 (69.68%)	151(30.32%)
Procurement Process	348 (69.88%)	150 (30.12%)
Extensive Time in Signing Agreement	254 (51.00%)	224 (49.00%)
Hiring of Staff/Personnel	223 (44.78%)	275 (55.22%)
Force Majeure 14 th C S National Convention on	111 (22.29%)	387 (77.71%)



 Major factors affecting the implementation of projects are <u>delayed</u> <u>budget release</u>, <u>procurement process</u>, and <u>extensive time in</u> <u>signing agreement</u>.

 Least factors affecting the implementation of projects are <u>hiring of</u> <u>personnel/staff</u> and <u>force</u> <u>majeure</u>.

Table 8. Distribution of DOST-GIA-funded projects according to the number of days delayed.

NUMBER OF DAYS DELAYED	FREQUENCY	%
0 days	285	57.23
1-30 days	33	6.63
31-75 days	71	14.26
76-150 days	82	16.47
151-360 days	26	5.22
>361 days	1	0.20
TOTAL	498	100



- The number of days delayed per project measures how long the DOST-GIA-funded projects were able to complete its requirement before implementing
 - Majority did not changed/moved its implementation date (57.23%).
 - Less than half have changed/moved its implementation for 1-360 days (47.57%).
 - Only one project has changed/moved its implementation date for more than a year (0.20%).



Table 9. Distribution of DOST-GIA-funded projects according on budget allocated.

BUDGET ALLOCATED (₱)	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE (%)
0 – 500,000	20	4.02
500,001 – 1,000,000	33	6.63
1,000,001 - 5,000,000	228	45.78
5,000,001 - 10,000,000	92	18.47
10,000,001 - 25,000,000	91	18.27
25,000,001 - 50,000,000	22	4.42
>50,000,001	12	2.41
TOTAL	498	100



• Most of the DOST-GIA projects were funded with at least PhP 1-5M for the past 20 years.

Table 10. Logistic Regression Analysis of DOST-GIA-funded projects (Coefficient).

VARIABLE	COEFFICIENT	95% CON LIN		P-VALUE
		LOWER	UPPER	
Constant	-1.610039	-2.157951	-1.062126	0.000
Budget allocated for Year 1 ^{ns}	6.55x10 ⁹	-1.49x10 ⁸	-2.80x10 ⁸	0.549
Number of days delayed ^{ns}	0.0018154	0042602	.0078909	0.558
Delayed budget release*	1.295223	0.625475	1.96497	0.000
Procurement process*	2.608377	1.883153	3.333601	0.000
Extensive period of signing	1.72619	0.6875016	2.764878	0.001
agreement*				
Hiring of staff/personnel*	1.438452	0.2351202	2.641784	0.019
Force majeure ^{ns}	0.3600634	0.983363	1.648463	0.584
n = 498				
$\chi^2 = 281.59$				
df = 7				

 $P > \chi^2 = 0.00$



*= significant at 5%

^{ns}= not significant at 5% level of probability



Indicator's Coefficient Signs: determine effect of

the independent variables on the dependent variable.

- Logistic regression model shows that <u>delayed budget release</u>, procurement process, extensive time for signing agreement, and hiring of staff/personnel all have positive sign, thus <u>more likely to</u> affect the implementation of DOST-GIA program.
- <u>Budget allocated for year 1,</u> <u>number of days delayed, & force</u> <u>majeure</u> – not statistically significant.

Table 11. Logistic Regression Analysis of DOST-GIA-funded projects (Odds Ratio)

VARIABLE	ODDS RATIO	95% CONFIDENCE LIMIT		P-VALUE
		LOWER	UPPER	
Constant	0.1998799	0.1155617	0.3457199	0.000
Budget allocated for Year 1 ^{ns}	1	1	1	0.549
Number of days delayed ^{ns}	1.001817	.9957489	1.007922	0.558
Delayed budget release*	3.651809	1.869134	7.1347	0.000
Procurement process*	13.577	6.574203	28.03913	0.000
Extensive period of signing	5.619203	1.988741	15.87711	0.001
agreement*				
Hiring of staff/personnel*	4.214168	1.265061	14.03823	0.019
Force majeure ^{ns}	1.43342	0.3952107	5.198984	0.584
n = 498				
χ ² = 281.59				
df = 7				
$P > \chi^2 = 0.00$		*= significant a	it 5%	

^{ns}= not significant at 5% level of probability





Odds-ratio effects: to understand the effect of the treatment of the outcomes.

- The <u>delayed budget release</u>, <u>procurement process</u>, <u>extensive</u> <u>time for signing agreement</u>, and <u>hiring of staff/personnel</u> are more likely to affect the implementation of DOST-GIA program.
- <u>Budget allocated for year 1,</u> <u>number of days delayed</u>, and <u>force majeure</u> – not statistically significant.

CONCLUSION

- The <u>implementation of DOST-GIA program</u> has been <u>aggravated</u> by different factors causing delays which <u>resulted in inefficient</u> process.
- The study was <u>able to establish a significant relation</u> between the <u>implementation of DOST-GIA-funded projects</u> and the <u>factors</u> <u>identified</u>.
- Delayed budget release, procurement process, extensive period of signing agreement, and hiring of personnel/staff <u>negatively</u> <u>affect</u> the implementation of DOST-GIA-funded projects.



RECOMMENDATIONS

- Improve the procurement process especially on STIrelated programs and projects to enhance the innovation ecosystem in the country.
- Fully implement the DOST monitoring and evaluation (M&E) protocol for better processing procedure.
- Improve competencies of STI support personnel (nontechnical and administrative personnel).



DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

DOST Building, General Santos Ave., Bicutan, Taguig City 1631 www.dost.gov.ph

THANK YOU!



Reference

- Albert, J.R.G., Quimba, M.A., Serafica, R.B., Llanto, G.M., Vizamos, J.F.V., & Bairan, J.C.A. (2017). *Measuring and Examining Innovation in Philippine Business and Industry.* PIDS Discussion Paper Series No. 2017-28, Philippine Institute of Development Studies.
- Binomial Logistic Regression Analysis using Stata. UCLA: Statistical Consulting Group. <u>https://statistics.laerd.com/stata-tutorials/binomial-logistic-regression-using-stata.php</u>
- Cornell University, INSEAD, and WIPO (2018): *The Global Innovation Index 2018: Energizing the World with Innovation.* Ithaca, Fontainebleau, and Geneva
- Cororaton, C. B. (2003). *Research and Development and Technology in the Philippines* (Vol. 10). Makati City: Philippine Institute for Development Studies.





Reference

- DOST Administrative Order No. 009 (2017).
- DOST Administrative Order No. 014 (2019).
- Logistic Regression | Stata Data Analysis Examples. UCLA: Statistical Consulting Group. <u>https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/stata/dae/logistic-regression/</u>
- OECD. (1997). *National Innovation Systems*. OECD Publication, Paris.
- OECD (2015), Frascati Manual 2015: Guidelines for Collecting and Reporting Data on Research and Experimental Development, The Measurement of Scientific, Technological and Innovation Activities, OECD Publishing, Paris. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264239012-en</u>





Reference

- Pernia, E.M. & Clarete, R.L. (2014). *Investing in S&T and R&D in face of AEC Competition*. PCED Policy Notes No. 2014-04, Philippine Center for Economic Development.
- Todaro M.P. & Smith S.C. (2015). *Economic Development 12th Edition*. New Jersey. Pearson Education Inc.
- USAID. (2014). Science, Technology, Research and Innovation for Development (STRIDE) Philippines Innovation Ecosystem Assessment 2014. RTI International.
- Schwab, K. (2018) World Economic Forum. The Global Competitiveness Report 2018. Available at: <u>http://www3.weforum.org/docs/GCR2018/05FullReport/TheGlobalCompetitivenessReport2018.pdf</u>



