# The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): Three Years On, Where do we stand?

by Wilma A. Guillen, Mechelle Viernes, Frances Anne Castillo, Dustin Adriel Sulat, John Paul Delos Santos Philippine Statistics Authority

Philippine Statistics Authority

Presented by Frances Anne Castillo, Dustin Adriel Sulat

4<sup>th</sup>NCS National Convention on 3 October 2019 | Crowne Plaza Manila Galleria **Philippine Statistics Authority** 

#### Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

"This Agenda is a plan of action for people, planet and prosperity. It also seeks to strengthen universal peace in larger freedom. All countries and all stakeholders, acting in collaborative partnership, will implement this plan."





Efforts of the Philippine Statistical System on the Development of the Philippine SDG Framework



Start of various inclusive consultations and assessment workshops on discussing goals and targets and assessing relevant SDG indicators for Philippine monitoring

The PSS enjoined all government instrumentalities to support the development of SDG indicators Approval of the initial list of SDG indicators for monitoring in the Philippines through the PSA Board Resolution No. 9 Series of 2017 Development of list of sub-national SDG indicators for monitoring

Continuous advocacy towards awareness of the SDGs through partner agencies (NEDA, PSRTI, DILG)



Efforts of the Philippine Statistical System on the Development of the Philippine SDG Framework



Initial Assessment of the Global SDG Indicators

Tier Classification:

Tier I – with established methodology, regularly collected

Tier II - with established methodology, data not regularly collected

Tier III - no established methodology, methodologies are being developed/tested





\*Results of the series of consultative/assessment workshops based on the 244 SDG indicators

Efforts of the Philippine Statistical System on the Development of the Philippine SDG Framework



Initial List of Philippine SDG Indicators



\* Based on the PSA Board Resolution No. 09, Series of 2017 "Approving and Adopting the Initial List of Sustainable Development Goals for Monitoring in the Philippines



# Efforts of the Philippine Statistical System on the Development of the Philippine SDG Framework



#### Initial List of Philippine SDG Indicators





**Proxy indicators** are indicators that serve as substitute, if global SDG indicator is not available in the country

#### Supplemental indicators are

additional indicators deemed necessary to be monitored to better help achieve the targets. They are indicators that agencies identify as priority indicators for monitoring.



Efforts of the Philippine Statistical System on the Development of the Philippine SDG Framework



Localization of SDG Monitoring

- Involvement to various activities dedicated for SDG advocacy (DILG, PSRTI, and NEDA)
- Conduct of Regional SDG Assessment Workshops
- SDG Seminar I, in partnership with PSRTI and DILG
- Localization of the PDP 2017-2022 and the SDGs





Efforts of the Philippine Statistical System on the Development of the Philippine SDG Framework



Dissemination Platforms of Philippine SDG Indicators

#### Philippine SDG Indicators Brochure



#### SDG Watch

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES PHILIPPINE STATISTICS AUTHORITY SOLID - RESPONSIVE - WORLD-CLASS Preliminary submissions as of December 29, 2017							
	Goals/Targets/Indicators	Base Data	eline Year	Data Source Agency			
2 7580 HINGER	GOAL 2. END HUNGER, ACHIEVE F Nutrition and promote sust/	OOD SEC Ainable/	URITY AND Agricult	) IMPROVED Ure			
target 2.1	By 2030, end hunger and ensure access by all people, in particula nutritious and sufficient food all year round	r the poor and peo	ople in vulnerable si	tuations, including infants, to safe,			
2.1.1.p1	Proportion of households meeting 100% recommended energy intake	31.7	2015	NNS, FNRI-DOST			
target 2.2	By 2030, end all forms of malnutrition, including achieving, by 20 under 5 years of age, and address the nutritional needs of adolese	25, the internation cent girls, pregnar	nally agreed targets at and lactating wom	on stunting and wasting in children nen and older persons			
2.2.1	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 standard deviation from the median of the World Health Organization (WHO) Child Growth Standards) among children under 5 years of age	21.5	2015	NNS, FNRI-DOST			
2.2.2	Prevalence of malnutrition (weight for height >+2 or <-2 standard deviation from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under 5 years of age, by type (wasting and overweight)						
2.2.2.1	Prevalence of malnutrition for children under 5 years <-2 SD from the median of the WHO CGS (wasting)	7.1	2015	NNS, FNRI-DOST			
2.2.2.2	Prevalence of malnutrition for children under 5 years <+2 SD from the median of the WHO CGS (overweight)	3.9	2015	NNS, FNRI-DOST			
2.2.51	Prevalence of Vitamin A deficiency among children aged 6 months to 5 years old	20.4	2013	NNS, FNRI-DOST			
2.2.s2	Prevalence of exclusively breastfed children 0 to 5 months old	48.8	2015	NNS, FNRI-DOST			
	The SDG Watch is compiled by the I	Philippine Statistic	s Authority				

#### official repository of SOG indicators in the Philippines per PSA Board Resolution No. 09 Series of 2017.

#### **PSA SDG Webpage**





#### Methodology

- 1. Assessment of the Sufficiency of Data for the Estimation of Tracking Process
- 2. Tracking Progress Assessment
- 3. Time Distance Measure
- 4. UNESCAP SDG Tracking Progress



# Methodology

Assessment of the Sufficiency of Data for the Estimation of Tracking Process

Data needed:

- **Baseline data**: baseline data for the SDGs is 2016, however, it may vary depending on the availability of the information, thus, baseline data can be any years closest to 2016;
- **Updated data**: this refers to the updates on the data, these updates should be an annual estimate, as much as possible;
- **Target data**: this is the projected data that needs to be achieved in 2030. The target data were committed by the accountable agencies. The targeting was done with the help of NEDA, PIDS and with technical assistance from PSA. There are also cases where the target data is non-numeric, e.g. increasing, decreasing, less than 5%.



# Methodology

Assessment of the Sufficiency of Data for the Estimation of Tracking Process

#### • Progress assessment possible:

- if a particular indicator has available baseline, updated and target Data; at least two or more data points available between the years 2000 and 2018;
- if a particular indicator has baseline and target data and has two or more data points available between the years 2000 and 2018;
- Trend analysis possible: if a particular indicator has two or more data points (including the baseline data) available between the years 2000 and 2018 but with no target;

#### No analysis possible (No Data):

- if a particular indicator has only target and baseline data; and no data points available between the years 2000 and 2018;
- if no data points (even the baseline data) are available between the years 2000 and 2018



- Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN) SDG Index Methodology
  - The index combines selected SDG indicators values into one metric that is primarily used for country ranking
  - Performing the SDG Index was not continued since it cannot be utilized for progress tracking
- OECD methodology on the Measuring Distance to the SDG Targets
  - A normalization method can be done after identifying indicators and generate their corresponding end value. This used a modified z-score procedure which the procedure measure distance from a fixed end point in a standardized way for each indicator



- UNSIAP Methodology for the Estimation of the Probability of Achieving the Target
  - Methodology adopted in the MDG Monitoring
  - Designed to estimate the probability of achieving the target using baseline data, latest data and target data
- Actual Annual Growth Rate

(latest data-baseline data)/(100-baseline data)

**Positive indicators:** 

number of years elapsed

**Negative indicators:**  $\frac{\frac{\text{latest data}}{\text{baseline data}} - 1}{\text{number of years elapsed}}$ 

Required Annual Growth Rate
*target rate*

number of years covered



Probability of Achieving the Target		Computed Pace
High	$\odot$	Greater than 0.9
Medium	<u></u>	Between 0.5 and 0.9
Low	8	Less than 0.5

- Time Distance Measure
  - Formulated by Prof. Pavle Sicherl of the University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
  - Measures the distance (proximity) in time between the points in time when the two series compared reach a specified level of the variable (indicator) X

. . .

- Steps for Computation
  - Compute the linear growth rate

$$Linear Growth Rate (LGR) = \frac{\frac{target data}{baseline data} - 1}{target yr. - baseline yr.}$$

- Locate year where the latest actual data lies  $\frac{(upper \ yr - lower \ yr) \times (upper \ predicted \ value - lower \ predicted \ value)}{actual \ value - lower \ predicted \ value} + lower \ yr$
- Compute for S-time distance to identify the time lead/time lag

 $S_i = Actual year - Predicted year$ 



- UNESCAP SDG Tracking Progress
  - Anticipated Progress

 $P = \frac{|TV - I_t|}{|TV - I_b|} \times 100$ 

where P may be interpreted as the extra effort or acceleration needed to meet the target when the value is less than or equal to 100, and 100 - P is the size of regression when it is greater than 100





- UNESCAP SDG Tracking Progress
  - Current Status Index

$$I_{cv}^{N} = \frac{I_{cv} - I_{o}}{|TV - I_{o}|} \ x \ D$$

where:

 $I_0$  - indicator values for 2000  $I_{cv}$  – indicator values for current year target TV - value for 2030  $D = \begin{cases} -10, & decreasing is desirable \\ 10, & increasing is desirable \end{cases}$ 





#### **Scope and Limitations**

- UNSIAP Methodology and Time Distance Methodology was included in the study, as this was used in measuring the progress during the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).
- UNESCAP SDG Tracking Progress was also included, as this was developed for progress tracking of the SDG indicators.
- SDSN methodology was not used due to need of comparison of ranking with other countries, and was not continued since it was not utilized for progress tracking.



#### **Results and Discussion**

- 1. Assessment of the Sufficiency of Data for the Estimation of Tracking Process
- 2. Tracking Progress Assessment
- 3. Time Distance Measure
- 4. UNESCAP SDG Tracking Progress



#### Assessment of Sufficiency of Data for the Estimation of Tracking Progress



\*This includes non-numerical target and country level target





# **UNSIAP Methodology**

• Probability of Achieving the Target



Goals

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17



Time Distance	Count	Percent		
Time Lead	19	47.5		
Time Lag	21	52.5		
Total	40	100.0		

#### **Time Distance Measure**





Time Distance	Count	Percent		
Time Lead	19	47.5		
Time Lag	21	52.5		
Total	40	100.0		

5 GENDER EQUALITY

Time Distance Measure





• Anticipated Progress Approach for the Indicators

Anticipated Progress	Count	Percent
On-track	9	15.8
Accelerate	35	61.4
Regressing	13	22.8
Total	57	100.0

#### **On-track indicators**

	1.1.1	International poverty
I	1.4.1.p5	NER elementary
	3.1.2	Births attended by skilled health personnel
	4.3.s1	NER - elementary
	8.2.1	Real GDP per employed person growth rate
	9.2.1	Manufacturing value added GDP by activity
	12.4.2	Hazardous waste generated/treated
	16.1.1	Intentional homicides
	16.1.s1	Number of murder cases



• Anticipated Progress Approach for the Indicators

Anticipated Progress	Count	Percent
On-track	9	15.8
Accelerate	35	61.4
Regressing	13	22.8
Total	57	100.0

			h	nternet users	17.8.1					
				Debt service	17.4.1					
		Brib	e by a govern	ment official	6.5.1.p1					
		M	anufacturing	employment	9.2.2					
			Occupat	ional injuries	8.8.1					
		Fur	nctional and B	Basic Literacy	4.6.1					
			Exposu	re to internet	4.4.1.p1					
			Fully Immun	ized children	3.b.1.p1					
			-	Tobacco use	3.a.1					
		Out	of pocket hea	Ith spending	3.8.s2					
			Adol	escent births	3.7.2					
	Meet	ting 100% rec	ommended e	energy intake	2.1.1.p1					
		Lak	bour income	share of GDP	10.4.1					
		Youth not in	education or	employment	8.6.1.p1					
	Family p	lanning satisf	ied with mod	ern methods	3.7.1					
		E	clusive breas	tfed children	2.2.s2					
			Infant r	mortality rate	3.2.s1					
			Neona	atal mortality	3.2.2					]
		Access	to improved	water supply	1.4.1.p7					
			Prevalenc	e of stunting	2.2.1					
	Popula	tion covered	by social hea	Ith insurance	3.8.s1					
			Under-f	five mortality	3.2.1					
Cove	red by socia	l health insura	ance per 1,00	0 population	3.8.2				l	
			0	rop-out rate	4.1.s3					
			Cohort	survival rate	4.1.s2					
			Micronutrie	nt deficiency	2.2.s1					
			Cor	npletion rate	4.1.s1					
			NER	l - secondary	4.3.s2					
			NE	R secondary	1.4.1.p6					
Women experience a	ny violence	by a current of	or former inti	mate partner	5.2.1					
			Ind	ex crime rate	16.1.s2					
			Nati	ional poverty	1.2.1					
			Unemp	loyment rate	8.5.2					
			Women r	eceived ANC	1.4.1.p1					
			Real GI	OP per capita	8.1.1					
-100	-80	-60	-40	-20	0	 20	40	60	80	100



• Anticipated Progress Approach for the Indicators





GOAL 1

GOAL 2

GOAL 3

1.1

1.2

1.4 1.5

2.2

2.1

3.1

3.8

3.2

3.7

3.a

3.b

3.3

National poverty

Equal rights

Malnutrition

Food security

Maternal mortality

Health coverage

Tobacco Control

R&D of medicines

Anticipated Progress Approach for the Targets

Anticipated Progress	Count	Percent
On-track	4	11.4
Accelerate	25	71.4
Regressing	6	17.1
Total	35	100.0







### **Moving Forward**

These methodologies certainly need more in depth studies and consultations as this will affect how we will be assessing how we fare in terms of achieving the SDGs.

- Examine the methodologies further and to collect more data points to better compute for the pace of progress.
- Ensure that baseline data and target data are available for each of the SDG indicators.
- Need to address the data gaps particularly the Tier 2 indicators and goals with insufficient number of indicators to better assess the progress in terms of monitoring the targets and the goals





1-3 October 2019 | Crowne Plaza Manila Galleria Organized by the Philippine Statistical Syster Snearheaded by the Philippine Statistics Authorit